

IRWM Grant Program Scoping Meeting

Department of Water Resources
January 23, Sacramento
January 31, Alhambra

Scoping Meeting Agenda

- 10:00-10:30 Welcome & Update on Prop 50 IRWM Funding – John Woodling
- 10:30-11:00 Slide Presentation – Tracie Billington
- 11:00-11:30 Q & A
- 11:30-12:00 Formulating Input – Joe Yun
- 12:00-1:00 Lunch (optional)
- 1:00-2:00 Reporting Input – All

Meeting Objectives

- Present Prop 50 IRWM Implementation Grant Additional Funding Recommendations
- Present the process that DWR will use to develop the program
- Provide information funding sources
- Present initial concepts for program elements
- Gather your input on IRWM future

Prop 50 IRWM Funding Update

Prop 50 Implementation Grants Approved Awards

- DWR
 - Los Angeles County Flood Control District
 - Pajaro Valley Water Management Agency
 - Regional Water Authority
 - San Luis and Delta Mendota Water Authority
- SWRCB
 - Humboldt County (North Coast IRWM)
 - Orange County
 - Ventura County
- All Awards \$25 million

Prop 50 IRWM Funding Existing Awards

	DWR	SWRCB
Funds for Grants/Expenditures	\$228.8	\$228.8
Total Previous Grant Awards	-\$38.5	-\$2.1
Previous Expenditures (Comp GW)	0	-\$45.8
Approved Implementation Awards	-\$100.0	-\$75.0
Remaining Balance	\$90.3	\$105.9

Dollars in millions

Prop 50 IRWM Funding North-South Split

	North	South
Total Previous Awards	\$31.5	\$9.1
Approved Implementation Awards	\$100.0	\$75.0
Total to Area	\$131.5	\$84.1

Dollars in millions

Prop 50 Implementation Grants Additional Funding Recommendation

- Provide Funding to Proposals with Merit
- Responsive to Public Comments
- Leveraging Local Funds
- Recognize Efforts by Applicants & Staff
- Address North-South Imbalance

Prop 50 Implementation Grants Southern California

- Fully Fund Remaining Proposals
- \$25 million to each of the following:
 - Santa Ana Watershed Project Authority
 - Mojave Water Agency

Prop 50 Implementation Grants Northern California


- Partially Funding Remaining Proposals
- Need to work with applicants
 - Improvements to proposals
 - Restructure due to reduced funding

Prop 50 Implementation Grants Northern California

- \$7 million for Plumas County
- \$12.5 million for each of the following:
 - Bay Area Clean Water Agency
 - CF Santa Cruz County
 - Contra Costa WD
 - Monterey CWRA
 - Northern California JEP
 - Tahoe RCD

Prop 50 Implementation Grants Funding Agency

- | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|
| • DWR | • SWRCB |
| – Bay Area Clean Water Agencies | – CF Santa Cruz County |
| – Mojave Water Agency | – Contra Costa WD |
| – Northern California JEP | – Monterey CWRA |
| – Plumas County | – SAWPA |
| | – Tahoe RCD |



Prop 50 IRWM Funding Revised Balances

	DWR	SWRCB
Funds Available for Grants	\$228.8	\$183.0
Total Previous Awards	-\$38.5	-\$2.1
Approved Implementation Awards	-\$100.0	-\$75.0
Additional Recommendations	-\$57.0	-75.0
<i>Remaining Balance</i>	<i>\$33.3</i>	<i>\$30.9</i>

Dollars in millions

Public Comment

- Send to:
tracieb@water.ca.gov
sfarahnak@waterboards.ca.gov
- Due on February 8, 2007

Scoping IRWM Future

Meeting Objectives

- Present the process that DWR will use to develop the program
- Provide information funding sources
- Present initial concepts for program elements
- Gather your input on IRWM future

IRWM Grant Program Schedule*

Jan 07 – Scoping Meetings

Feb-Mar 07 – Funding Area Meetings

Feb-Apr 07 – DWR working with regions

June 07 – Release Draft Guidelines and PSPs

July 07 – Public Comment Period

Sept 07 – Final Guidelines and PSPs

*Subject to change based on input received on the program.

Comments Received

- Reduce the cost of application process
- Be consistent throughout the process
 - Avoid mid-round changes
- Clarify relationship between
 - Regional priorities
 - Statewide priorities

Comments Received

- Re-evaluate how statewide priorities are considered in the review process
- Timelines of the process should promote collaboration not competition within a region

Comments Received


- Improve aid to disadvantaged communities (DACs)
- Clarify implementation guidelines
 - Eligible projects
- Clarify/Refine what constitutes a region

Proposition 84

Section 75026

Proposition 84

- Provides funding for projects that:
“...assists local public agencies to meet long term water needs of the state including the delivery of safe drinking water and the protection of water quality and the environment.”



Proposition 84

- Allows use of existing Guidelines
- Funding match not mandated
- Defines DACs and severely DACs

Proposition 84

- Adds additional project element
 - Ecosystem and fisheries restoration/protect
- IRWM Plans must
 - Contain performance measures & monitoring
 - Consider Water Plan Resource Management Strategies

<http://www.waterplan.water.ca.gov/cwpu2005/index.cfm#vol2>

Proposition 84

- Program preferences
 - Integrate water management program & projects
 - Integrate water management with land use planning
 - Resolve significant water-related conflicts within or between regions
 - Attain one or more CALFED Objective
 - Address Statewide Priorities
 - Address critical water supply or water quality needs for DACs

Proposition 1E

Section 5096.827

Proposition 1E

- \$300 million
- Stormwater Flood Management Projects
 - Designed to manage stormwater runoff to reduce flood damage
 - Where feasible, provide other benefits, including
 - Groundwater recharge
 - Water quality improvement
 - Ecosystem restoration

Proposition 1E

- Non-state cost share of not less than 50%
- Not part of the State Plan of Flood Control
 - Defined in Section 5096.805(j)
- Comply with applicable regional water quality control plans
- Consistent with applicable IRWM Plan

IRWM Grant Program Concepts

IRWM Grant Program Concepts

- Build on existing IRWM guidelines and plan standards
- Continued involvement of SWRCB/RWQCB and other agencies
- Work more collaboratively with regional efforts

IRWM Grant Program Concepts

- Single application for multiple funding sources
 - Prop 84 IRWM Funds
 - Prop 1E Stormwater-Flood Management Funds
 - Balance of Prop 50 IRWM Funds

IRWM Grant Program Concepts

- Alternative Competition Models
 - Performance based
 - Direct Competition

IRWM Grant Program Concepts

- Focus first on adequate planning
 - More upfront scrutiny of IRWM Plan
 - Less review of individual projects
- Use Existing Standards
 - To extent possible
 - No “significant” alterations
- Raise IRWM Plan Minimum Standards

IRWM Grant Program Concepts

- Upfront Involvement with Regional Efforts
- Technical and Financial Assistance to engage DACs/SDACs the IRWM process
- Planning Grant Program
- Implementation Grants based on acceptable and adopted plans

Questions & Answers

Group Input:

- Performance Based or Direct Competition
- IRWM Planning Standards and Grant Program
- DAC/SDAC Assistance
- Regional Definition
- Stakeholder Involvement

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 100

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 100