

Project # 8

Monitoring Program for JWPCP Marshland Enhancement Project

Submitted By: City of Carson and Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County

Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring				
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods			Project Scoring
Contribution to Planning Targets	Degree of Benefit			
	H (6 pts)	M (4 pts)	L (2 pts)	
Improve Water Supply - Total (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	0
Improve Water Quality* (MGD)	>10	1-10	<1	4
Groundwater* (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	0
Enhance Habitat (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Other Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring			
	Y = 5 pts			
Multiple Sub-regions / Multiple Entities	Y/N			5
High Profile / Demonstration Project	Y/N			0
TOTAL	Out of 34			9

Readiness to Proceed Evaluation and Scoring					
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods				Project Scoring
Documentation Progress	Degree of Completeness				
	H (6 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (0 pts)	N/A	
Conceptual Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	3
Land Acquisition	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Preliminary Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Permits	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Construction Drawings	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Feasibility, Cost, Schedule, and Support	Defined Benchmarks				
	H (5 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (1 pts)	N/A	
Project Feasibility (0-3 Documents)	3+	2	1	NA	5
Cost-Share	>60%	40-60%	<40%	NA	0
Schedule - Construction Start	2008-10	2011-12	2013+	NA	0
Local Support	H	M	L	NA	0
TOTAL	Out of 50				8

Sub-Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring			
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods		Project Scoring
Prioritized Objectives	Weighted Yes/No Scoring		
	Rank (Wt.)		
Improve Water Supply	Y/N	1 (5 pts)	0
Improve Water Quality	Y/N	2 (5 pts)	5
Enhance Habitat	Y/N	5 (5 pts)	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation	Y/N	4 (5 pts)	5
Sustain Communities	Y/N	3 (5 pts)	0
Other Sub-Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring		
	Y=4, N=0		
Critical Needs	Y/N		0
Disadvantaged Communities	Y/N		0
Conjunctive Use (Inc. Cleanup/WQ Mgmt)	Y/N		0
Recycled Water Expansion	Y/N		0
Water Conservation	Y/N		0
TOTAL	Out of 50		10

Summary Scores		
	Score	Rank
Regional Benefit	9	8
Sub-Regional Priorities	10	2
Total	19	9
Readiness to Proceed	8	20

Project # 9

Carson Freeway Wetland

Submitted By: City of Carson, Carson Redevelopment Agency

Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring				
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods			Project Scoring
Contribution to Planning Targets	Degree of Benefit			
	H (6 pts)	M (4 pts)	L (2 pts)	
Improve Water Supply - Total (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	0
Improve Water Quality* (MGD)	>10	1-10	<1	4
Groundwater* (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	0
Enhance Habitat (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Other Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring			
	Y = 5 pts			
Multiple Sub-regions / Multiple Entities	Y/N			5
High Profile / Demonstration Project	Y/N			0
TOTAL	Out of 34			9

Readiness to Proceed Evaluation and Scoring					
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods				Project Scoring
Documentation Progress	Degree of Completeness				
	H (6 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (0 pts)	N/A	
Conceptual Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	3
Land Acquisition	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Preliminary Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Permits	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Construction Drawings	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Feasibility, Cost, Schedule, and Support	Defined Benchmarks				
	H (5 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (1 pts)	N/A	
Project Feasibility (0-3 Documents)	3+	2	1	NA	3
Cost-Share	>60%	40-60%	<40%	NA	0
Schedule - Construction Start	2008-10	2011-12	2013+	NA	5
Local Support	H	M	L	NA	0
TOTAL	Out of 50				11

Sub-Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring			
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods		Project Scoring
Prioritized Objectives	Weighted Yes/No Scoring		
	Rank (Wt.)		
Improve Water Supply	Y/N	1 (5 pts)	0
Improve Water Quality	Y/N	2 (5 pts)	5
Enhance Habitat	Y/N	5 (5 pts)	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation	Y/N	4 (5 pts)	5
Sustain Communities	Y/N	3 (5 pts)	0
Other Sub-Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring		
	Y=4, N=0		
Critical Needs	Y/N		0
Disadvantaged Communities	Y/N		0
Conjunctive Use (Inc. Cleanup/WQ Mgmt)	Y/N		0
Recycled Water Expansion	Y/N		0
Water Conservation	Y/N		0
TOTAL	Out of 50		10

Summary Scores		
	Score	Rank
Regional Benefit	9	8
Sub-Regional Priorities	10	2
Total	19	9
Readiness to Proceed	11	17

Project # 37

Lower Franklin Canyon Park

Submitted By: City of Los Angeles Council District 5

Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring				
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods			Project Scoring
Contribution to Planning Targets	Degree of Benefit			
	H (6 pts)	M (4 pts)	L (2 pts)	
Improve Water Supply - Total (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	4
Improve Water Quality* (MGD)	>10	1-10	<1	4
Groundwater* (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	0
Enhance Habitat (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	4
Enhance Open Space, Recreation (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Other Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring			
	Y = 5 pts			
Multiple Sub-regions / Multiple Entities	Y/N			5
High Profile / Demonstration Project	Y/N			0
TOTAL	Out of 34			17

Readiness to Proceed Evaluation and Scoring					
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods				Project Scoring
Documentation Progress	Degree of Completeness				
	H (6 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (0 pts)	N/A	
Conceptual Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	3
Land Acquisition	C	IP	NI	NA	3
Preliminary Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Permits	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Construction Drawings	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Feasibility, Cost, Schedule, and Support	Defined Benchmarks				
	H (5 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (1 pts)	N/A	
Project Feasibility (0-3 Documents)	3+	2	1	NA	0
Cost-Share	>60%	40-60%	<40%	NA	0
Schedule - Construction Start	2008-10	2011-12	2013+	NA	5
Local Support	H	M	L	NA	0
TOTAL	Out of 50				11

Sub-Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring			
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods		Project Scoring
Prioritized Objectives	Weighted Yes/No Scoring		
	Rank (Wt.)		
Improve Water Supply	Y/N	1 (5 pts)	5
Improve Water Quality	Y/N	2 (5 pts)	5
Enhance Habitat	Y/N	5 (5 pts)	5
Enhance Open Space, Recreation	Y/N	4 (5 pts)	0
Sustain Communities	Y/N	3 (5 pts)	0
Other Sub-Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring		
	Y=4, N=0		
Critical Needs	Y/N		0
Disadvantaged Communities	Y/N		0
Conjunctive Use (Inc. Cleanup/WQ Mgmt)	Y/N		0
Recycled Water Expansion	Y/N		0
Water Conservation	Y/N		0
TOTAL	Out of 50		15

Summary Scores		
	Score	Rank
Regional Benefit	17	2
Sub-Regional Priorities	15	1
Total	32	3
Readiness to Proceed	11	17

Project # 40

Catch Basin Cover Phase III

Submitted By: City of Los Angeles, Department of Public Work

Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring				
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods			Project Scoring
Contribution to Planning Targets	Degree of Benefit			
	H (6 pts)	M (4 pts)	L (2 pts)	
Improve Water Supply - Total (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	0
Improve Water Quality* (MGD)	>10	1-10	<1	6
Groundwater* (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	0
Enhance Habitat (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	6
Other Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring			
	Y = 5 pts			
Multiple Sub-regions / Multiple Entities	Y/N			5
High Profile / Demonstration Project	Y/N			0
TOTAL	Out of 34			17

Readiness to Proceed Evaluation and Scoring					
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods				Project Scoring
Documentation Progress	Degree of Completeness				
	H (6 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (0 pts)	N/A	
Conceptual Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	6
Land Acquisition	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Preliminary Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Permits	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Construction Drawings	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Feasibility, Cost, Schedule, and Support	Defined Benchmarks				
	H (5 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (1 pts)	N/A	
Project Feasibility (0-3 Documents)	3+	2	1	NA	5
Cost-Share	>60%	40-60%	<40%	NA	0
Schedule - Construction Start	2008-10	2011-12	2013+	NA	0
Local Support	H	M	L	NA	0
TOTAL	Out of 50				11

Sub-Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring			
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods		Project Scoring
Prioritized Objectives	Weighted Yes/No Scoring		
	Rank (Wt.)		
Improve Water Supply	Y/N	1 (5 pts)	0
Improve Water Quality	Y/N	2 (5 pts)	0
Enhance Habitat	Y/N	5 (5 pts)	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation	Y/N	4 (5 pts)	5
Sustain Communities	Y/N	3 (5 pts)	0
Other Sub-Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring		
	Y=4, N=0		
Critical Needs	Y/N		0
Disadvantaged Communities	Y/N		0
Conjunctive Use (Inc. Cleanup/WQ Mgmt)	Y/N		0
Recycled Water Expansion	Y/N		0
Water Conservation	Y/N		0
TOTAL	Out of 50		5

Summary Scores		
	Score	Rank
Regional Benefit	17	2
Sub-Regional Priorities	5	3
Total	22	7
Readiness to Proceed	11	17

Project # 41

Machado Lake Ecosystem Rehabilitation Project

Submitted By: City of Los Angeles, Department of Public Works

Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring				
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods			Project Scoring
Contribution to Planning Targets	Degree of Benefit			
	H (6 pts)	M (4 pts)	L (2 pts)	
Improve Water Supply - Total (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	0
Improve Water Quality* (MGD)	>10	1-10	<1	6
Groundwater* (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	0
Enhance Habitat (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	6
Enhance Open Space, Recreation (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	6
Other Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring			
	Y = 5 pts			
Multiple Sub-regions / Multiple Entities	Y/N			5
High Profile / Demonstration Project	Y/N			0
TOTAL	Out of 34			23

Readiness to Proceed Evaluation and Scoring					
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods				Project Scoring
Documentation Progress	Degree of Completeness				
	H (6 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (0 pts)	N/A	
Conceptual Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	6
Land Acquisition	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Preliminary Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Permits	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Construction Drawings	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Feasibility, Cost, Schedule, and Support	Defined Benchmarks				
	H (5 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (1 pts)	N/A	
Project Feasibility (0-3 Documents)	3+	2	1	NA	5
Cost-Share	>60%	40-60%	<40%	NA	0
Schedule - Construction Start	2008-10	2011-12	2013+	NA	5
Local Support	H	M	L	NA	0
TOTAL	Out of 50				16

Sub-Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring			
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods		Project Scoring
Prioritized Objectives	Weighted Yes/No Scoring		
	Rank (Wt.)		
Improve Water Supply	Y/N	1 (5 pts)	0
Improve Water Quality	Y/N	2 (5 pts)	0
Enhance Habitat	Y/N	5 (5 pts)	5
Enhance Open Space, Recreation	Y/N	4 (5 pts)	5
Sustain Communities	Y/N	3 (5 pts)	0
Other Sub-Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring		
	Y=4, N=0		
Critical Needs	Y/N		0
Disadvantaged Communities	Y/N		0
Conjunctive Use (Inc. Cleanup/WQ Mgmt)	Y/N		0
Recycled Water Expansion	Y/N		0
Water Conservation	Y/N		0
TOTAL	Out of 50		10

Summary Scores		
	Score	Rank
Regional Benefit	23	1
Sub-Regional Priorities	10	2
Total	33	2
Readiness to Proceed	16	12

Submitted By: City of Los Angeles, Department of Public Works

Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring				
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods			Project Scoring
Contribution to Planning Targets	Degree of Benefit			
	H (6 pts)	M (4 pts)	L (2 pts)	
Improve Water Supply - Total (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	2
Improve Water Quality* (MGD)	>10	1-10	<1	2
Groundwater* (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	0
Enhance Habitat (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Other Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring			
	Y = 5 pts			
Multiple Sub-regions / Multiple Entities	Y/N			5
High Profile / Demonstration Project	Y/N			0
TOTAL	Out of 34			9

Readiness to Proceed Evaluation and Scoring					
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods				Project Scoring
Documentation Progress	Degree of Completeness				
	H (6 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (0 pts)	N/A	
Conceptual Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	3
Land Acquisition	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Preliminary Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Permits	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Construction Drawings	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Feasibility, Cost, Schedule, and Support	Defined Benchmarks				
	H (5 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (1 pts)	N/A	
Project Feasibility (0-3 Documents)	3+	2	1	NA	3
Cost-Share	>60%	40-60%	<40%	NA	0
Schedule - Construction Start	2008-10	2011-12	2013+	NA	5
Local Support	H	M	L	NA	0
TOTAL	Out of 50				11

Sub-Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring			
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods		Project Scoring
Prioritized Objectives	Weighted Yes/No Scoring		
	Rank (Wt.)		
Improve Water Supply	Y/N	1 (5 pts)	0
Improve Water Quality	Y/N	2 (5 pts)	0
Enhance Habitat	Y/N	5 (5 pts)	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation	Y/N	4 (5 pts)	0
Sustain Communities	Y/N	3 (5 pts)	0
Other Sub-Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring		
	Y=4, N=0		
Critical Needs	Y/N		0
Disadvantaged Communities	Y/N		0
Conjunctive Use (Inc. Cleanup/WQ Mgmt)	Y/N		0
Recycled Water Expansion	Y/N		0
Water Conservation	Y/N		0
TOTAL	Out of 50		0

Summary Scores		
	Score	Rank
Regional Benefit	9	8
Sub-Regional Priorities	0	4
Total	9	19
Readiness to Proceed	11	17

Submitted By: City of Los Angeles, Department of Public Works

Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring				
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods			Project Scoring
Contribution to Planning Targets	Degree of Benefit			
	H (6 pts)	M (4 pts)	L (2 pts)	
Improve Water Supply - Total (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	4
Improve Water Quality* (MGD)	>10	1-10	<1	4
Groundwater* (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	0
Enhance Habitat (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Other Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring			
	Y = 5 pts			
Multiple Sub-regions / Multiple Entities	Y/N			5
High Profile / Demonstration Project	Y/N			0
TOTAL	Out of 34			13

Readiness to Proceed Evaluation and Scoring					
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods				Project Scoring
Documentation Progress	Degree of Completeness				
	H (6 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (0 pts)	N/A	
Conceptual Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	6
Land Acquisition	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Preliminary Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Permits	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Construction Drawings	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Feasibility, Cost, Schedule, and Support	Defined Benchmarks				
	H (5 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (1 pts)	N/A	
Project Feasibility (0-3 Documents)	3+	2	1	NA	3
Cost-Share	>60%	40-60%	<40%	NA	0
Schedule - Construction Start	2008-10	2011-12	2013+	NA	5
Local Support	H	M	L	NA	0
TOTAL	Out of 50				14

Sub-Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring			
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods		Project Scoring
Prioritized Objectives	Weighted Yes/No Scoring		
	Rank (Wt.)		
Improve Water Supply	Y/N	1 (5 pts)	0
Improve Water Quality	Y/N	2 (5 pts)	0
Enhance Habitat	Y/N	5 (5 pts)	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation	Y/N	4 (5 pts)	0
Sustain Communities	Y/N	3 (5 pts)	0
Other Sub-Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring		
	Y=4, N=0		
Critical Needs	Y/N		0
Disadvantaged Communities	Y/N		0
Conjunctive Use (Inc. Cleanup/WQ Mgmt)	Y/N		0
Recycled Water Expansion	Y/N		0
Water Conservation	Y/N		0
TOTAL	Out of 50		0

Summary Scores		
	Score	Rank
Regional Benefit	13	4
Sub-Regional Priorities	0	4
Total	13	15
Readiness to Proceed	14	14

Submitted By: City of Los Angeles, Department of Public Works

Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring				
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods			Project Scoring
Contribution to Planning Targets	Degree of Benefit			
	H (6 pts)	M (4 pts)	L (2 pts)	
Improve Water Supply - Total (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	2
Improve Water Quality* (MGD)	>10	1-10	<1	4
Groundwater* (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	0
Enhance Habitat (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Other Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring			
	Y = 5 pts			
Multiple Sub-regions / Multiple Entities	Y/N			5
High Profile / Demonstration Project	Y/N			0
TOTAL	Out of 34			11

Readiness to Proceed Evaluation and Scoring					
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods				Project Scoring
Documentation Progress	Degree of Completeness				
	H (6 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (0 pts)	N/A	
Conceptual Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	6
Land Acquisition	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Preliminary Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Permits	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Construction Drawings	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Feasibility, Cost, Schedule, and Support	Defined Benchmarks				
	H (5 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (1 pts)	N/A	
Project Feasibility (0-3 Documents)	3+	2	1	NA	3
Cost-Share	>60%	40-60%	<40%	NA	0
Schedule - Construction Start	2008-10	2011-12	2013+	NA	5
Local Support	H	M	L	NA	0
TOTAL	Out of 50				14

Sub-Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring			
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods		Project Scoring
Prioritized Objectives	Weighted Yes/No Scoring		
	Rank (Wt.)		
Improve Water Supply	Y/N	1 (5 pts)	0
Improve Water Quality	Y/N	2 (5 pts)	0
Enhance Habitat	Y/N	5 (5 pts)	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation	Y/N	4 (5 pts)	0
Sustain Communities	Y/N	3 (5 pts)	0
Other Sub-Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring		
	Y=4, N=0		
Critical Needs	Y/N		0
Disadvantaged Communities	Y/N		0
Conjunctive Use (Inc. Cleanup/WQ Mgmt)	Y/N		0
Recycled Water Expansion	Y/N		0
Water Conservation	Y/N		0
TOTAL	Out of 50		0

Summary Scores		
	Score	Rank
Regional Benefit	11	6
Sub-Regional Priorities	0	4
Total	11	17
Readiness to Proceed	14	14

Project # 46

Westchester-LAX Stormwater Best Management Practices

Submitted By: City of Los Angeles, Department of Public Works

Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring				
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods			Project Scoring
Contribution to Planning Targets	Degree of Benefit			
	H (6 pts)	M (4 pts)	L (2 pts)	
Improve Water Supply - Total (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	0
Improve Water Quality* (MGD)	>10	1-10	<1	6
Groundwater* (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	0
Enhance Habitat (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Other Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring			
	Y = 5 pts			
Multiple Sub-regions / Multiple Entities	Y/N			5
High Profile / Demonstration Project	Y/N			0
TOTAL	Out of 34			11

Readiness to Proceed Evaluation and Scoring					
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods				Project Scoring
Documentation Progress	Degree of Completeness				
	H (6 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (0 pts)	N/A	
Conceptual Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	6
Land Acquisition	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Preliminary Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Permits	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Construction Drawings	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Feasibility, Cost, Schedule, and Support	Defined Benchmarks				
	H (5 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (1 pts)	N/A	
Project Feasibility (0-3 Documents)	3+	2	1	NA	3
Cost-Share	>60%	40-60%	<40%	NA	0
Schedule - Construction Start	2008-10	2011-12	2013+	NA	5
Local Support	H	M	L	NA	0
TOTAL	Out of 50				14

Sub-Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring			
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods		Project Scoring
Prioritized Objectives	Weighted Yes/No Scoring		
	Rank (Wt.)		
Improve Water Supply	Y/N	1 (5 pts)	0
Improve Water Quality	Y/N	2 (5 pts)	0
Enhance Habitat	Y/N	5 (5 pts)	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation	Y/N	4 (5 pts)	0
Sustain Communities	Y/N	3 (5 pts)	0
Other Sub-Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring		
	Y=4, N=0		
Critical Needs	Y/N		0
Disadvantaged Communities	Y/N		0
Conjunctive Use (Inc. Cleanup/WQ Mgmt)	Y/N		0
Recycled Water Expansion	Y/N		0
Water Conservation	Y/N		0
TOTAL	Out of 50		0

Summary Scores		
	Score	Rank
Regional Benefit	11	6
Sub-Regional Priorities	0	4
Total	11	17
Readiness to Proceed	14	14

Submitted By: City of Rolling Hills Estates

Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring				
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods			Project Scoring
Contribution to Planning Targets	Degree of Benefit			
	H (6 pts)	M (4 pts)	L (2 pts)	
Improve Water Supply - Total (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	4
Improve Water Quality* (MGD)	>10	1-10	<1	2
Groundwater* (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	0
Enhance Habitat (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Other Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring			
	Y = 5 pts			
Multiple Sub-regions / Multiple Entities	Y/N			0
High Profile / Demonstration Project	Y/N			0
TOTAL	Out of 34			6

Readiness to Proceed Evaluation and Scoring					
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods				Project Scoring
Documentation Progress	Degree of Completeness				
	H (6 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (0 pts)	N/A	
Conceptual Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	3
Land Acquisition	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Preliminary Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Permits	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Construction Drawings	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Feasibility, Cost, Schedule, and Support	Defined Benchmarks				
	H (5 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (1 pts)	N/A	
Project Feasibility (0-3 Documents)	3+	2	1	NA	5
Cost-Share	>60%	40-60%	<40%	NA	0
Schedule - Construction Start	2008-10	2011-12	2013+	NA	5
Local Support	H	M	L	NA	0
TOTAL	Out of 50				13

Sub-Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring			
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods		Project Scoring
Prioritized Objectives	Weighted Yes/No Scoring		
	Rank (Wt.)		
Improve Water Supply	Y/N	1 (5 pts)	5
Improve Water Quality	Y/N	2 (5 pts)	5
Enhance Habitat	Y/N	5 (5 pts)	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation	Y/N	4 (5 pts)	0
Sustain Communities	Y/N	3 (5 pts)	0
Other Sub-Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring		
	Y=4, N=0		
Critical Needs	Y/N		0
Disadvantaged Communities	Y/N		0
Conjunctive Use (Inc. Cleanup/WQ Mgmt)	Y/N		0
Recycled Water Expansion	Y/N		0
Water Conservation	Y/N		0
TOTAL	Out of 50		10

Summary Scores		
	Score	Rank
Regional Benefit	6	11
Sub-Regional Priorities	10	2
Total	16	12
Readiness to Proceed	13	15

Project # 56

Peninsula Village Green Building Review

Submitted By: City of Rolling Hills Estates

Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring				
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods			Project Scoring
Contribution to Planning Targets	Degree of Benefit			
	H (6 pts)	M (4 pts)	L (2 pts)	
Improve Water Supply - Total (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	4
Improve Water Quality* (MGD)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Groundwater* (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	0
Enhance Habitat (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Other Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring			
	Y = 5 pts			
Multiple Sub-regions / Multiple Entities	Y/N			0
High Profile / Demonstration Project	Y/N			0
TOTAL	Out of 34			4

Readiness to Proceed Evaluation and Scoring					
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods				Project Scoring
Documentation Progress	Degree of Completeness				
	H (6 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (0 pts)	N/A	
Conceptual Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	3
Land Acquisition	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Preliminary Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	3
Permits	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Construction Drawings	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Feasibility, Cost, Schedule, and Support	Defined Benchmarks				
	H (5 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (1 pts)	N/A	
Project Feasibility (0-3 Documents)	3+	2	1	NA	5
Cost-Share	>60%	40-60%	<40%	NA	0
Schedule - Construction Start	2008-10	2011-12	2013+	NA	0
Local Support	H	M	L	NA	0
TOTAL	Out of 50				11

Sub-Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring			
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods		Project Scoring
Prioritized Objectives	Weighted Yes/No Scoring		
	Rank (Wt.)		
Improve Water Supply	Y/N	1 (5 pts)	5
Improve Water Quality	Y/N	2 (5 pts)	5
Enhance Habitat	Y/N	5 (5 pts)	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation	Y/N	4 (5 pts)	0
Sustain Communities	Y/N	3 (5 pts)	0
Other Sub-Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring		
	Y=4, N=0		
Critical Needs	Y/N		0
Disadvantaged Communities	Y/N		0
Conjunctive Use (Inc. Cleanup/WQ Mgmt)	Y/N		0
Recycled Water Expansion	Y/N		0
Water Conservation	Y/N		0
TOTAL	Out of 50		10

Summary Scores		
	Score	Rank
Regional Benefit	4	13
Sub-Regional Priorities	10	2
Total	14	14
Readiness to Proceed	11	17

Submitted By: City of Rolling Hills Estates

Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring				
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods			Project Scoring
Contribution to Planning Targets	Degree of Benefit			
	H (6 pts)	M (4 pts)	L (2 pts)	
Improve Water Supply - Total (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	4
Improve Water Quality* (MGD)	>10	1-10	<1	2
Groundwater* (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	0
Enhance Habitat (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Other Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring			
	Y = 5 pts			
Multiple Sub-regions / Multiple Entities	Y/N			5
High Profile / Demonstration Project	Y/N			0
TOTAL	Out of 34			11

Readiness to Proceed Evaluation and Scoring					
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods				Project Scoring
Documentation Progress	Degree of Completeness				
	H (6 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (0 pts)	N/A	
Conceptual Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	6
Land Acquisition	C	IP	NI	NA	6
Preliminary Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Permits	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Construction Drawings	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Feasibility, Cost, Schedule, and Support	Defined Benchmarks				
	H (5 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (1 pts)	N/A	
Project Feasibility (0-3 Documents)	3+	2	1	NA	5
Cost-Share	>60%	40-60%	<40%	NA	0
Schedule - Construction Start	2008-10	2011-12	2013+	NA	5
Local Support	H	M	L	NA	0
TOTAL	Out of 50				22

Sub-Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring			
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods		Project Scoring
Prioritized Objectives	Weighted Yes/No Scoring		
	Rank (Wt.)		
Improve Water Supply	Y/N	1 (5 pts)	0
Improve Water Quality	Y/N	2 (5 pts)	0
Enhance Habitat	Y/N	5 (5 pts)	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation	Y/N	4 (5 pts)	0
Sustain Communities	Y/N	3 (5 pts)	0
Other Sub-Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring		
	Y=4, N=0		
Critical Needs	Y/N		0
Disadvantaged Communities	Y/N		0
Conjunctive Use (Inc. Cleanup/WQ Mgmt)	Y/N		0
Recycled Water Expansion	Y/N		0
Water Conservation	Y/N		0
TOTAL	Out of 50		0

Summary Scores		
	Score	Rank
Regional Benefit	11	6
Sub-Regional Priorities	0	4
Total	11	17
Readiness to Proceed	22	6

Project # 58

Model Equestrian Center

Submitted By: City of Rolling Hills Estates

Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring				
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods			Project Scoring
Contribution to Planning Targets	Degree of Benefit			
	H (6 pts)	M (4 pts)	L (2 pts)	
Improve Water Supply - Total (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	2
Improve Water Quality* (MGD)	>10	1-10	<1	2
Groundwater* (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	0
Enhance Habitat (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Other Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring			
	Y = 5 pts			
Multiple Sub-regions / Multiple Entities	Y/N			5
High Profile / Demonstration Project	Y/N			0
TOTAL	Out of 34			9

Readiness to Proceed Evaluation and Scoring					
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods				Project Scoring
Documentation Progress	Degree of Completeness				
	H (6 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (0 pts)	N/A	
Conceptual Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	6
Land Acquisition	C	IP	NI	NA	3
Preliminary Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Permits	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Construction Drawings	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Feasibility, Cost, Schedule, and Support	Defined Benchmarks				
	H (5 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (1 pts)	N/A	
Project Feasibility (0-3 Documents)	3+	2	1	NA	3
Cost-Share	>60%	40-60%	<40%	NA	0
Schedule - Construction Start	2008-10	2011-12	2013+	NA	5
Local Support	H	M	L	NA	0
TOTAL	Out of 50				17

Sub-Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring			
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods		Project Scoring
Prioritized Objectives	Weighted Yes/No Scoring		
	Rank (Wt.)		
Improve Water Supply	Y/N	1 (5 pts)	0
Improve Water Quality	Y/N	2 (5 pts)	5
Enhance Habitat	Y/N	5 (5 pts)	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation	Y/N	4 (5 pts)	5
Sustain Communities	Y/N	3 (5 pts)	0
Other Sub-Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring		
	Y=4, N=0		
Critical Needs	Y/N		0
Disadvantaged Communities	Y/N		0
Conjunctive Use (Inc. Cleanup/WQ Mgmt)	Y/N		0
Recycled Water Expansion	Y/N		0
Water Conservation	Y/N		0
TOTAL	Out of 50		10

Summary Scores		
	Score	Rank
Regional Benefit	9	8
Sub-Regional Priorities	10	2
Total	19	9
Readiness to Proceed	17	11

Submitted By: City of Santa Monica

Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring				
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods			Project Scoring
Contribution to Planning Targets	Degree of Benefit			
	H (6 pts)	M (4 pts)	L (2 pts)	
Improve Water Supply - Total (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	0
Improve Water Quality* (MGD)	>10	1-10	<1	6
Groundwater* (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	0
Enhance Habitat (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Other Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring			
	Y = 5 pts			
Multiple Sub-regions / Multiple Entities	Y/N			5
High Profile / Demonstration Project	Y/N			0
TOTAL	Out of 34			11

Readiness to Proceed Evaluation and Scoring					
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods				Project Scoring
Documentation Progress	Degree of Completeness				
	H (6 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (0 pts)	N/A	
Conceptual Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	6
Land Acquisition	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Preliminary Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Permits	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Construction Drawings	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Feasibility, Cost, Schedule, and Support	Defined Benchmarks				
	H (5 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (1 pts)	N/A	
Project Feasibility (0-3 Documents)	3+	2	1	NA	5
Cost-Share	>60%	40-60%	<40%	NA	0
Schedule - Construction Start	2008-10	2011-12	2013+	NA	5
Local Support	H	M	L	NA	0
TOTAL	Out of 50				16

Sub-Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring			
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods		Project Scoring
Prioritized Objectives	Weighted Yes/No Scoring		
	Rank (Wt.)		
Improve Water Supply	Y/N	1 (5 pts)	0
Improve Water Quality	Y/N	2 (5 pts)	5
Enhance Habitat	Y/N	5 (5 pts)	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation	Y/N	4 (5 pts)	0
Sustain Communities	Y/N	3 (5 pts)	0
Other Sub-Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring		
	Y=4, N=0		
Critical Needs	Y/N		0
Disadvantaged Communities	Y/N		0
Conjunctive Use (Inc. Cleanup/WQ Mgmt)	Y/N		0
Recycled Water Expansion	Y/N		0
Water Conservation	Y/N		0
TOTAL	Out of 50		5

Summary Scores		
	Score	Rank
Regional Benefit	11	6
Sub-Regional Priorities	5	3
Total	16	12
Readiness to Proceed	16	12

Project # 61

Parks Runoff Retrofit Reuse-Infiltration Projects

Submitted By: City of Santa Monica

Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring				
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods			Project Scoring
Contribution to Planning Targets	Degree of Benefit			
	H (6 pts)	M (4 pts)	L (2 pts)	
Improve Water Supply - Total (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	2
Improve Water Quality* (MGD)	>10	1-10	<1	2
Groundwater* (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	0
Enhance Habitat (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Other Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring			
	Y = 5 pts			
Multiple Sub-regions / Multiple Entities	Y/N			5
High Profile / Demonstration Project	Y/N			0
TOTAL	Out of 34			9

Readiness to Proceed Evaluation and Scoring					
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods				Project Scoring
Documentation Progress	Degree of Completeness				
	H (6 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (0 pts)	N/A	
Conceptual Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Land Acquisition	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Preliminary Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Permits	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Construction Drawings	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Feasibility, Cost, Schedule, and Support	Defined Benchmarks				
	H (5 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (1 pts)	N/A	
Project Feasibility (0-3 Documents)	3+	2	1	NA	3
Cost-Share	>60%	40-60%	<40%	NA	0
Schedule - Construction Start	2008-10	2011-12	2013+	NA	5
Local Support	H	M	L	NA	0
TOTAL	Out of 50				8

Sub-Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring			
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods		Project Scoring
Prioritized Objectives	Weighted Yes/No Scoring		
	Rank (Wt.)		
Improve Water Supply	Y/N	1 (5 pts)	0
Improve Water Quality	Y/N	2 (5 pts)	5
Enhance Habitat	Y/N	5 (5 pts)	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation	Y/N	4 (5 pts)	5
Sustain Communities	Y/N	3 (5 pts)	0
Other Sub-Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring		
	Y=4, N=0		
Critical Needs	Y/N		0
Disadvantaged Communities	Y/N		0
Conjunctive Use (Inc. Cleanup/WQ Mgmt)	Y/N		0
Recycled Water Expansion	Y/N		0
Water Conservation	Y/N		0
TOTAL	Out of 50		10

Summary Scores		
	Score	Rank
Regional Benefit	9	8
Sub-Regional Priorities	10	2
Total	19	9
Readiness to Proceed	8	20

Submitted By: City of Santa Monica

Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring				
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods			Project Scoring
Contribution to Planning Targets	Degree of Benefit			
	H (6 pts)	M (4 pts)	L (2 pts)	
Improve Water Supply - Total (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	4
Improve Water Quality* (MGD)	>10	1-10	<1	6
Groundwater* (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	0
Enhance Habitat (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Other Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring			
	Y = 5 pts			
Multiple Sub-regions / Multiple Entities	Y/N			5
High Profile / Demonstration Project	Y/N			0
TOTAL	Out of 34			15

Readiness to Proceed Evaluation and Scoring					
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods				Project Scoring
Documentation Progress	Degree of Completeness				
	H (6 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (0 pts)	N/A	
Conceptual Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Land Acquisition	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Preliminary Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Permits	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Construction Drawings	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Feasibility, Cost, Schedule, and Support	Defined Benchmarks				
	H (5 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (1 pts)	N/A	
Project Feasibility (0-3 Documents)	3+	2	1	NA	3
Cost-Share	>60%	40-60%	<40%	NA	0
Schedule - Construction Start	2008-10	2011-12	2013+	NA	5
Local Support	H	M	L	NA	0
TOTAL	Out of 50				8

Sub-Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring			
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods		Project Scoring
Prioritized Objectives	Weighted Yes/No Scoring		
	Rank (Wt.)		
Improve Water Supply	Y/N	1 (5 pts)	0
Improve Water Quality	Y/N	2 (5 pts)	5
Enhance Habitat	Y/N	5 (5 pts)	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation	Y/N	4 (5 pts)	0
Sustain Communities	Y/N	3 (5 pts)	0
Other Sub-Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring		
	Y=4, N=0		
Critical Needs	Y/N		0
Disadvantaged Communities	Y/N		0
Conjunctive Use (Inc. Cleanup/WQ Mgmt)	Y/N		0
Recycled Water Expansion	Y/N		0
Water Conservation	Y/N		0
TOTAL	Out of 50		5

Summary Scores		
	Score	Rank
Regional Benefit	15	3
Sub-Regional Priorities	5	3
Total	20	8
Readiness to Proceed	8	20

Project # 74

Yukon Well Field Development

Submitted By: City of Torrance

Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring				
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods			Project Scoring
Contribution to Planning Targets	Degree of Benefit			
	H (6 pts)	M (4 pts)	L (2 pts)	
Improve Water Supply - Total (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	6
Improve Water Quality* (MGD)	>10	1-10	<1	4
Groundwater* (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	0
Enhance Habitat (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Other Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring			
	Y = 5 pts			
Multiple Sub-regions / Multiple Entities	Y/N			0
High Profile / Demonstration Project	Y/N			0
TOTAL	Out of 34			10

Readiness to Proceed Evaluation and Scoring					
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods				Project Scoring
Documentation Progress	Degree of Completeness				
	H (6 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (0 pts)	N/A	
Conceptual Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	3
Land Acquisition	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Preliminary Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Permits	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Construction Drawings	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Feasibility, Cost, Schedule, and Support	Defined Benchmarks				
	H (5 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (1 pts)	N/A	
Project Feasibility (0-3 Documents)	3+	2	1	NA	1
Cost-Share	>60%	40-60%	<40%	NA	0
Schedule - Construction Start	2008-10	2011-12	2013+	NA	5
Local Support	H	M	L	NA	0
TOTAL	Out of 50				9

Sub-Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring			
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods		Project Scoring
Prioritized Objectives	Weighted Yes/No Scoring		
	Rank (Wt.)		
Improve Water Supply	Y/N	1 (5 pts)	0
Improve Water Quality	Y/N	2 (5 pts)	0
Enhance Habitat	Y/N	5 (5 pts)	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation	Y/N	4 (5 pts)	0
Sustain Communities	Y/N	3 (5 pts)	0
Other Sub-Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring		
	Y=4, N=0		
Critical Needs	Y/N		0
Disadvantaged Communities	Y/N		0
Conjunctive Use (Inc. Cleanup/WQ Mgmt)	Y/N		0
Recycled Water Expansion	Y/N		0
Water Conservation	Y/N		0
TOTAL	Out of 50		0

Summary Scores		
	Score	Rank
Regional Benefit	10	7
Sub-Regional Priorities	0	4
Total	10	18
Readiness to Proceed	9	19

Project # 78

Conversion of Amie Storm Drain Sump Tributary to Santa Monica Bay into Infi

Submitted By: City of Torrance, SMBBB TMDL Jurisdictional Groups 5 & 6

Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring				
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods			Project Scoring
Contribution to Planning Targets	Degree of Benefit			
	H (6 pts)	M (4 pts)	L (2 pts)	
Improve Water Supply - Total (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	4
Improve Water Quality* (MGD)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Groundwater* (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	0
Enhance Habitat (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	4
Enhance Open Space, Recreation (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Other Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring			
	Y = 5 pts			
Multiple Sub-regions / Multiple Entities	Y/N			5
High Profile / Demonstration Project	Y/N			0
TOTAL	Out of 34			13

Readiness to Proceed Evaluation and Scoring					
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods				Project Scoring
Documentation Progress	Degree of Completeness				
	H (6 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (0 pts)	N/A	
Conceptual Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	3
Land Acquisition	C	IP	NI	NA	6
Preliminary Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Permits	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Construction Drawings	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Feasibility, Cost, Schedule, and Support	Defined Benchmarks				
	H (5 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (1 pts)	N/A	
Project Feasibility (0-3 Documents)	3+	2	1	NA	3
Cost-Share	>60%	40-60%	<40%	NA	0
Schedule - Construction Start	2008-10	2011-12	2013+	NA	5
Local Support	H	M	L	NA	0
TOTAL	Out of 50				17

Sub-Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring			
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods		Project Scoring
Prioritized Objectives	Weighted Yes/No Scoring		
	Rank (Wt.)		
Improve Water Supply	Y/N	1 (5 pts)	0
Improve Water Quality	Y/N	2 (5 pts)	5
Enhance Habitat	Y/N	5 (5 pts)	5
Enhance Open Space, Recreation	Y/N	4 (5 pts)	5
Sustain Communities	Y/N	3 (5 pts)	0
Other Sub-Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring		
	Y=4, N=0		
Critical Needs	Y/N		0
Disadvantaged Communities	Y/N		0
Conjunctive Use (Inc. Cleanup/WQ Mgmt)	Y/N		0
Recycled Water Expansion	Y/N		0
Water Conservation	Y/N		0
TOTAL	Out of 50		15

Summary Scores		
	Score	Rank
Regional Benefit	13	4
Sub-Regional Priorities	15	1
Total	28	4
Readiness to Proceed	17	11

Submitted By: City of Torrance, SMBBB TMDL Jurisdictional Groups 5 & 6

Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring				
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods			Project Scoring
Contribution to Planning Targets	Degree of Benefit			
	H (6 pts)	M (4 pts)	L (2 pts)	
Improve Water Supply - Total (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	0
Improve Water Quality* (MGD)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Groundwater* (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	4
Enhance Habitat (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	4
Enhance Open Space, Recreation (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Other Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring			
	Y = 5 pts			
Multiple Sub-regions / Multiple Entities	Y/N			5
High Profile / Demonstration Project	Y/N			0
TOTAL	Out of 34			13

Readiness to Proceed Evaluation and Scoring					
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods				Project Scoring
Documentation Progress	Degree of Completeness				
	H (6 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (0 pts)	N/A	
Conceptual Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	3
Land Acquisition	C	IP	NI	NA	6
Preliminary Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Permits	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Construction Drawings	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Feasibility, Cost, Schedule, and Support	Defined Benchmarks				
	H (5 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (1 pts)	N/A	
Project Feasibility (0-3 Documents)	3+	2	1	NA	3
Cost-Share	>60%	40-60%	<40%	NA	0
Schedule - Construction Start	2008-10	2011-12	2013+	NA	5
Local Support	H	M	L	NA	0
TOTAL	Out of 50				17

Sub-Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring			
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods		Project Scoring
Prioritized Objectives	Weighted Yes/No Scoring		
	Rank (Wt.)		
Improve Water Supply	Y/N	1 (5 pts)	0
Improve Water Quality	Y/N	2 (5 pts)	5
Enhance Habitat	Y/N	5 (5 pts)	5
Enhance Open Space, Recreation	Y/N	4 (5 pts)	5
Sustain Communities	Y/N	3 (5 pts)	0
Other Sub-Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring		
	Y=4, N=0		
Critical Needs	Y/N		0
Disadvantaged Communities	Y/N		0
Conjunctive Use (Inc. Cleanup/WQ Mgmt)	Y/N		0
Recycled Water Expansion	Y/N		0
Water Conservation	Y/N		0
TOTAL	Out of 50		15

Summary Scores		
	Score	Rank
Regional Benefit	13	4
Sub-Regional Priorities	15	1
Total	28	4
Readiness to Proceed	17	11

Submitted By: Coastal Conservancy

Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring				
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods			Project Scoring
Contribution to Planning Targets	Degree of Benefit			
	H (6 pts)	M (4 pts)	L (2 pts)	
Improve Water Supply - Total (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	0
Improve Water Quality* (MGD)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Groundwater* (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	0
Enhance Habitat (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Other Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring			
	Y = 5 pts			
Multiple Sub-regions / Multiple Entities	Y/N			0
High Profile / Demonstration Project	Y/N			0
TOTAL	Out of 34			0

Readiness to Proceed Evaluation and Scoring					
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods				Project Scoring
Documentation Progress	Degree of Completeness				
	H (6 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (0 pts)	N/A	
Conceptual Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Land Acquisition	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Preliminary Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Permits	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Construction Drawings	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Feasibility, Cost, Schedule, and Support	Defined Benchmarks				
	H (5 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (1 pts)	N/A	
Project Feasibility (0-3 Documents)	3+	2	1	NA	1
Cost-Share	>60%	40-60%	<40%	NA	0
Schedule - Construction Start	2008-10	2011-12	2013+	NA	0
Local Support	H	M	L	NA	0
TOTAL	Out of 50				1

Sub-Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring			
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods		Project Scoring
Prioritized Objectives	Weighted Yes/No Scoring		
	Rank (Wt.)		
Improve Water Supply	Y/N	1 (5 pts)	0
Improve Water Quality	Y/N	2 (5 pts)	0
Enhance Habitat	Y/N	5 (5 pts)	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation	Y/N	4 (5 pts)	0
Sustain Communities	Y/N	3 (5 pts)	0
Other Sub-Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring		
	Y=4, N=0		
Critical Needs	Y/N		0
Disadvantaged Communities	Y/N		0
Conjunctive Use (Inc. Cleanup/WQ Mgmt)	Y/N		0
Recycled Water Expansion	Y/N		0
Water Conservation	Y/N		0
TOTAL	Out of 50		0

Summary Scores		
	Score	Rank
Regional Benefit	0	15
Sub-Regional Priorities	0	4
Total	0	25
Readiness to Proceed	1	26

Project # 90

Ballona Wetlands Restoration

Submitted By: Coastal Conservancy, Dept. Fish and Game

Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring				
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods			Project Scoring
Contribution to Planning Targets	Degree of Benefit			
	H (6 pts)	M (4 pts)	L (2 pts)	
Improve Water Supply - Total (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	0
Improve Water Quality* (MGD)	>10	1-10	<1	6
Groundwater* (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	0
Enhance Habitat (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	6
Enhance Open Space, Recreation (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	6
Other Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring			
	Y = 5 pts			
Multiple Sub-regions / Multiple Entities	Y/N			5
High Profile / Demonstration Project	Y/N			0
TOTAL	Out of 34			23

Readiness to Proceed Evaluation and Scoring					
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods				Project Scoring
Documentation Progress	Degree of Completeness				
	H (6 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (0 pts)	N/A	
Conceptual Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	3
Land Acquisition	C	IP	NI	NA	6
Preliminary Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	3
Permits	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Construction Drawings	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Feasibility, Cost, Schedule, and Support	Defined Benchmarks				
	H (5 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (1 pts)	N/A	
Project Feasibility (0-3 Documents)	3+	2	1	NA	5
Cost-Share	>60%	40-60%	<40%	NA	0
Schedule - Construction Start	2008-10	2011-12	2013+	NA	5
Local Support	H	M	L	NA	0
TOTAL	Out of 50				22

Sub-Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring			
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods		Project Scoring
Prioritized Objectives	Weighted Yes/No Scoring		
	Rank (Wt.)		
Improve Water Supply	Y/N	1 (5 pts)	0
Improve Water Quality	Y/N	2 (5 pts)	5
Enhance Habitat	Y/N	5 (5 pts)	5
Enhance Open Space, Recreation	Y/N	4 (5 pts)	5
Sustain Communities	Y/N	3 (5 pts)	0
Other Sub-Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring		
	Y=4, N=0		
Critical Needs	Y/N		0
Disadvantaged Communities	Y/N		0
Conjunctive Use (Inc. Cleanup/WQ Mgmt)	Y/N		0
Recycled Water Expansion	Y/N		0
Water Conservation	Y/N		0
TOTAL	Out of 50		15

Summary Scores		
	Score	Rank
Regional Benefit	23	1
Sub-Regional Priorities	15	1
Total	38	1
Readiness to Proceed	22	6

Submitted By: LADWP

Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring				
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods			Project Scoring
Contribution to Planning Targets	Degree of Benefit			
	H (6 pts)	M (4 pts)	L (2 pts)	
Improve Water Supply - Total (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	6
Improve Water Quality* (MGD)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Groundwater* (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	0
Enhance Habitat (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Other Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring			
	Y = 5 pts			
Multiple Sub-regions / Multiple Entities	Y/N			5
High Profile / Demonstration Project	Y/N			0
TOTAL	Out of 34			11

Readiness to Proceed Evaluation and Scoring					
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods				Project Scoring
Documentation Progress	Degree of Completeness				
	H (6 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (0 pts)	N/A	
Conceptual Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	3
Land Acquisition	C	IP	NI	NA	6
Preliminary Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	3
Permits	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Construction Drawings	C	IP	NI	NA	3
Feasibility, Cost, Schedule, and Support	Defined Benchmarks				
	H (5 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (1 pts)	N/A	
Project Feasibility (0-3 Documents)	3+	2	1	NA	1
Cost-Share	>60%	40-60%	<40%	NA	0
Schedule - Construction Start	2008-10	2011-12	2013+	NA	5
Local Support	H	M	L	NA	0
TOTAL	Out of 50				21

Sub-Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring			
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods		Project Scoring
Prioritized Objectives	Weighted Yes/No Scoring		
	Rank (Wt.)		
Improve Water Supply	Y/N	1 (5 pts)	0
Improve Water Quality	Y/N	2 (5 pts)	0
Enhance Habitat	Y/N	5 (5 pts)	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation	Y/N	4 (5 pts)	0
Sustain Communities	Y/N	3 (5 pts)	0
Other Sub-Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring		
	Y=4, N=0		
Critical Needs	Y/N		0
Disadvantaged Communities	Y/N		0
Conjunctive Use (Inc. Cleanup/WQ Mgmt)	Y/N		0
Recycled Water Expansion	Y/N		0
Water Conservation	Y/N		0
TOTAL	Out of 50		0

Summary Scores		
	Score	Rank
Regional Benefit	11	6
Sub-Regional Priorities	0	4
Total	11	17
Readiness to Proceed	21	7

Submitted By: Light Rail for Cheviot

Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring				
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods			Project Scoring
Contribution to Planning Targets	Degree of Benefit			
	H (6 pts)	M (4 pts)	L (2 pts)	
Improve Water Supply - Total (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	0
Improve Water Quality* (MGD)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Groundwater* (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	0
Enhance Habitat (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	4
Enhance Open Space, Recreation (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	4
Other Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring			
	Y = 5 pts			
Multiple Sub-regions / Multiple Entities	Y/N			0
High Profile / Demonstration Project	Y/N			0
TOTAL	Out of 34			8

Readiness to Proceed Evaluation and Scoring					
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods				Project Scoring
Documentation Progress	Degree of Completeness				
	H (6 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (0 pts)	N/A	
Conceptual Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Land Acquisition	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Preliminary Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Permits	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Construction Drawings	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Feasibility, Cost, Schedule, and Support	Defined Benchmarks				
	H (5 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (1 pts)	N/A	
Project Feasibility (0-3 Documents)	3+	2	1	NA	1
Cost-Share	>60%	40-60%	<40%	NA	0
Schedule - Construction Start	2008-10	2011-12	2013+	NA	5
Local Support	H	M	L	NA	0
TOTAL	Out of 50				6

Sub-Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring			
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods		Project Scoring
Prioritized Objectives	Weighted Yes/No Scoring		
	Rank (Wt.)		
Improve Water Supply	Y/N	1 (5 pts)	5
Improve Water Quality	Y/N	2 (5 pts)	0
Enhance Habitat	Y/N	5 (5 pts)	5
Enhance Open Space, Recreation	Y/N	4 (5 pts)	5
Sustain Communities	Y/N	3 (5 pts)	0
Other Sub-Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring		
	Y=4, N=0		
Critical Needs	Y/N		0
Disadvantaged Communities	Y/N		0
Conjunctive Use (Inc. Cleanup/WQ Mgmt)	Y/N		0
Recycled Water Expansion	Y/N		0
Water Conservation	Y/N		0
TOTAL	Out of 50		15

Summary Scores		
	Score	Rank
Regional Benefit	8	9
Sub-Regional Priorities	15	1
Total	23	6
Readiness to Proceed	6	22

Project # 113

Dominguez Channel Greenway

Submitted By: Los Angeles County Flood Control District

Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring				
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods			Project Scoring
Contribution to Planning Targets	Degree of Benefit			
	H (6 pts)	M (4 pts)	L (2 pts)	
Improve Water Supply - Total (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	0
Improve Water Quality* (MGD)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Groundwater* (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	0
Enhance Habitat (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	4
Enhance Open Space, Recreation (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Other Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring			
	Y = 5 pts			
Multiple Sub-regions / Multiple Entities	Y/N			0
High Profile / Demonstration Project	Y/N			0
TOTAL	Out of 34			4

Readiness to Proceed Evaluation and Scoring					
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods				Project Scoring
Documentation Progress	Degree of Completeness				
	H (6 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (0 pts)	N/A	
Conceptual Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	6
Land Acquisition	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Preliminary Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	3
Permits	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Construction Drawings	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Feasibility, Cost, Schedule, and Support	Defined Benchmarks				
	H (5 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (1 pts)	N/A	
Project Feasibility (0-3 Documents)	3+	2	1	NA	1
Cost-Share	>60%	40-60%	<40%	NA	0
Schedule - Construction Start	2008-10	2011-12	2013+	NA	5
Local Support	H	M	L	NA	0
TOTAL	Out of 50				15

Sub-Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring			
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods		Project Scoring
Prioritized Objectives	Weighted Yes/No Scoring		
	Rank (Wt.)		
Improve Water Supply	Y/N	1 (5 pts)	0
Improve Water Quality	Y/N	2 (5 pts)	0
Enhance Habitat	Y/N	5 (5 pts)	5
Enhance Open Space, Recreation	Y/N	4 (5 pts)	5
Sustain Communities	Y/N	3 (5 pts)	0
Other Sub-Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring		
	Y=4, N=0		
Critical Needs	Y/N		0
Disadvantaged Communities	Y/N		0
Conjunctive Use (Inc. Cleanup/WQ Mgmt)	Y/N		0
Recycled Water Expansion	Y/N		0
Water Conservation	Y/N		0
TOTAL	Out of 50		10

Summary Scores		
	Score	Rank
Regional Benefit	4	13
Sub-Regional Priorities	10	2
Total	14	14
Readiness to Proceed	15	13

Submitted By: Los Angeles County Flood Control District

Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring				
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods			Project Scoring
Contribution to Planning Targets	Degree of Benefit			
	H (6 pts)	M (4 pts)	L (2 pts)	
Improve Water Supply - Total (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	4
Improve Water Quality* (MGD)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Groundwater* (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	0
Enhance Habitat (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Other Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring			
	Y = 5 pts			
Multiple Sub-regions / Multiple Entities	Y/N			0
High Profile / Demonstration Project	Y/N			0
TOTAL	Out of 34			4

Readiness to Proceed Evaluation and Scoring					
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods				Project Scoring
Documentation Progress	Degree of Completeness				
	H (6 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (0 pts)	N/A	
Conceptual Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	6
Land Acquisition	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Preliminary Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	6
Permits	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Construction Drawings	C	IP	NI	NA	6
Feasibility, Cost, Schedule, and Support	Defined Benchmarks				
	H (5 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (1 pts)	N/A	
Project Feasibility (0-3 Documents)	3+	2	1	NA	1
Cost-Share	>60%	40-60%	<40%	NA	0
Schedule - Construction Start	2008-10	2011-12	2013+	NA	0
Local Support	H	M	L	NA	0
TOTAL	Out of 50				19

Sub-Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring			
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods		Project Scoring
Prioritized Objectives	Weighted Yes/No Scoring		
	Rank (Wt.)		
Improve Water Supply	Y/N	1 (5 pts)	5
Improve Water Quality	Y/N	2 (5 pts)	0
Enhance Habitat	Y/N	5 (5 pts)	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation	Y/N	4 (5 pts)	0
Sustain Communities	Y/N	3 (5 pts)	0
Other Sub-Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring		
	Y=4, N=0		
Critical Needs	Y/N		0
Disadvantaged Communities	Y/N		0
Conjunctive Use (Inc. Cleanup/WQ Mgmt)	Y/N		0
Recycled Water Expansion	Y/N		0
Water Conservation	Y/N		0
TOTAL	Out of 50		5

Summary Scores		
	Score	Rank
Regional Benefit	4	13
Sub-Regional Priorities	5	3
Total	9	19
Readiness to Proceed	19	9

Submitted By: NA

Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring				
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods			Project Scoring
Contribution to Planning Targets	Degree of Benefit			
	H (6 pts)	M (4 pts)	L (2 pts)	
Improve Water Supply - Total (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	0
Improve Water Quality* (MGD)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Groundwater* (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	0
Enhance Habitat (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Other Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring			
	Y = 5 pts			
Multiple Sub-regions / Multiple Entities	Y/N			0
High Profile / Demonstration Project	Y/N			0
TOTAL	Out of 34			0

Readiness to Proceed Evaluation and Scoring					
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods				Project Scoring
Documentation Progress	Degree of Completeness				
	H (6 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (0 pts)	N/A	
Conceptual Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Land Acquisition	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Preliminary Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Permits	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Construction Drawings	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Feasibility, Cost, Schedule, and Support	Defined Benchmarks				
	H (5 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (1 pts)	N/A	
Project Feasibility (0-3 Documents)	3+	2	1	NA	1
Cost-Share	>60%	40-60%	<40%	NA	0
Schedule - Construction Start	2008-10	2011-12	2013+	NA	0
Local Support	H	M	L	NA	0
TOTAL	Out of 50				1

Sub-Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring			
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods		Project Scoring
Prioritized Objectives	Weighted Yes/No Scoring		
	Rank (Wt.)		
Improve Water Supply	Y/N	1 (5 pts)	5
Improve Water Quality	Y/N	2 (5 pts)	5
Enhance Habitat	Y/N	5 (5 pts)	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation	Y/N	4 (5 pts)	5
Sustain Communities	Y/N	3 (5 pts)	0
Other Sub-Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring		
	Y=4, N=0		
Critical Needs	Y/N		0
Disadvantaged Communities	Y/N		0
Conjunctive Use (Inc. Cleanup/WQ Mgmt)	Y/N		0
Recycled Water Expansion	Y/N		0
Water Conservation	Y/N		0
TOTAL	Out of 50		15

Summary Scores		
	Score	Rank
Regional Benefit	0	15
Sub-Regional Priorities	15	1
Total	15	13
Readiness to Proceed	1	26

Submitted By: The Verde Coalition

Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring				
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods			Project Scoring
Contribution to Planning Targets	Degree of Benefit			
	H (6 pts)	M (4 pts)	L (2 pts)	
Improve Water Supply - Total (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	0
Improve Water Quality* (MGD)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Groundwater* (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	0
Enhance Habitat (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	6
Other Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring			
	Y = 5 pts			
Multiple Sub-regions / Multiple Entities	Y/N			5
High Profile / Demonstration Project	Y/N			0
TOTAL	Out of 34			11

Readiness to Proceed Evaluation and Scoring					
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods				Project Scoring
Documentation Progress	Degree of Completeness				
	H (6 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (0 pts)	N/A	
Conceptual Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Land Acquisition	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Preliminary Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Permits	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Construction Drawings	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Feasibility, Cost, Schedule, and Support	Defined Benchmarks				
	H (5 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (1 pts)	N/A	
Project Feasibility (0-3 Documents)	3+	2	1	NA	1
Cost-Share	>60%	40-60%	<40%	NA	0
Schedule - Construction Start	2008-10	2011-12	2013+	NA	0
Local Support	H	M	L	NA	0
TOTAL	Out of 50				1

Sub-Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring			
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods		Project Scoring
Prioritized Objectives	Weighted Yes/No Scoring		
	Rank (Wt.)		
Improve Water Supply	Y/N	1 (5 pts)	5
Improve Water Quality	Y/N	2 (5 pts)	5
Enhance Habitat	Y/N	5 (5 pts)	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation	Y/N	4 (5 pts)	5
Sustain Communities	Y/N	3 (5 pts)	0
Other Sub-Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring		
	Y=4, N=0		
Critical Needs	Y/N		0
Disadvantaged Communities	Y/N		0
Conjunctive Use (Inc. Cleanup/WQ Mgmt)	Y/N		0
Recycled Water Expansion	Y/N		0
Water Conservation	Y/N		0
TOTAL	Out of 50		15

Summary Scores		
	Score	Rank
Regional Benefit	11	6
Sub-Regional Priorities	15	1
Total	26	5
Readiness to Proceed	1	26

Project # 199

Community Gardens

Submitted By: Verde Coalition

Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring				
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods			Project Scoring
Contribution to Planning Targets	Degree of Benefit			
	H (6 pts)	M (4 pts)	L (2 pts)	
Improve Water Supply - Total (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	0
Improve Water Quality* (MGD)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Groundwater* (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	0
Enhance Habitat (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	6
Other Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring			
	Y = 5 pts			
Multiple Sub-regions / Multiple Entities	Y/N			5
High Profile / Demonstration Project	Y/N			0
TOTAL	Out of 34			11

Readiness to Proceed Evaluation and Scoring					
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods				Project Scoring
Documentation Progress	Degree of Completeness				
	H (6 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (0 pts)	N/A	
Conceptual Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Land Acquisition	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Preliminary Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Permits	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Construction Drawings	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Feasibility, Cost, Schedule, and Support	Defined Benchmarks				
	H (5 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (1 pts)	N/A	
Project Feasibility (0-3 Documents)	3+	2	1	NA	1
Cost-Share	>60%	40-60%	<40%	NA	0
Schedule - Construction Start	2008-10	2011-12	2013+	NA	0
Local Support	H	M	L	NA	0
TOTAL	Out of 50				1

Sub-Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring			
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods		Project Scoring
Prioritized Objectives	Weighted Yes/No Scoring		
	Rank (Wt.)		
Improve Water Supply	Y/N	1 (5 pts)	0
Improve Water Quality	Y/N	2 (5 pts)	0
Enhance Habitat	Y/N	5 (5 pts)	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation	Y/N	4 (5 pts)	5
Sustain Communities	Y/N	3 (5 pts)	0
Other Sub-Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring		
	Y=4, N=0		
Critical Needs	Y/N		0
Disadvantaged Communities	Y/N		0
Conjunctive Use (Inc. Cleanup/WQ Mgmt)	Y/N		0
Recycled Water Expansion	Y/N		0
Water Conservation	Y/N		0
TOTAL	Out of 50		5

Summary Scores		
	Score	Rank
Regional Benefit	11	6
Sub-Regional Priorities	5	3
Total	16	12
Readiness to Proceed	1	26

Submitted By: Water Replenishment District of Southern California

Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring				
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods			Project Scoring
Contribution to Planning Targets	Degree of Benefit			
	H (6 pts)	M (4 pts)	L (2 pts)	
Improve Water Supply - Total (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	6
Improve Water Quality* (MGD)	>10	1-10	<1	4
Groundwater* (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	0
Enhance Habitat (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Other Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring			
	Y = 5 pts			
Multiple Sub-regions / Multiple Entities	Y/N			5
High Profile / Demonstration Project	Y/N			0
TOTAL	Out of 34			15

Readiness to Proceed Evaluation and Scoring					
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods				Project Scoring
Documentation Progress	Degree of Completeness				
	H (6 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (0 pts)	N/A	
Conceptual Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	6
Land Acquisition	C	IP	NI	NA	3
Preliminary Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	3
Permits	C	IP	NI	NA	3
Construction Drawings	C	IP	NI	NA	3
Feasibility, Cost, Schedule, and Support	Defined Benchmarks				
	H (5 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (1 pts)	N/A	
Project Feasibility (0-3 Documents)	3+	2	1	NA	3
Cost-Share	>60%	40-60%	<40%	NA	0
Schedule - Construction Start	2008-10	2011-12	2013+	NA	5
Local Support	H	M	L	NA	0
TOTAL	Out of 50				26

Sub-Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring			
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods		Project Scoring
Prioritized Objectives	Weighted Yes/No Scoring		
	Rank (Wt.)		
Improve Water Supply	Y/N	1 (5 pts)	0
Improve Water Quality	Y/N	2 (5 pts)	5
Enhance Habitat	Y/N	5 (5 pts)	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation	Y/N	4 (5 pts)	0
Sustain Communities	Y/N	3 (5 pts)	0
Other Sub-Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring		
	Y=4, N=0		
Critical Needs	Y/N		0
Disadvantaged Communities	Y/N		0
Conjunctive Use (Inc. Cleanup/WQ Mgmt)	Y/N		0
Recycled Water Expansion	Y/N		0
Water Conservation	Y/N		0
TOTAL	Out of 50		5

Summary Scores		
	Score	Rank
Regional Benefit	15	3
Sub-Regional Priorities	5	3
Total	20	8
Readiness to Proceed	26	4

Submitted By: West Basin Municipal Water District

Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring				
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods			Project Scoring
Contribution to Planning Targets	Degree of Benefit			
	H (6 pts)	M (4 pts)	L (2 pts)	
Improve Water Supply - Total (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	6
Improve Water Quality* (MGD)	>10	1-10	<1	6
Groundwater* (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	0
Enhance Habitat (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Other Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring			
	Y = 5 pts			
Multiple Sub-regions / Multiple Entities	Y/N			5
High Profile / Demonstration Project	Y/N			0
TOTAL	Out of 34			17

Readiness to Proceed Evaluation and Scoring					
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods				Project Scoring
Documentation Progress	Degree of Completeness				
	H (6 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (0 pts)	N/A	
Conceptual Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	6
Land Acquisition	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Preliminary Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Permits	C	IP	NI	NA	3
Construction Drawings	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Feasibility, Cost, Schedule, and Support	Defined Benchmarks				
	H (5 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (1 pts)	N/A	
Project Feasibility (0-3 Documents)	3+	2	1	NA	1
Cost-Share	>60%	40-60%	<40%	NA	0
Schedule - Construction Start	2008-10	2011-12	2013+	NA	5
Local Support	H	M	L	NA	0
TOTAL	Out of 50				15

Sub-Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring			
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods		Project Scoring
Prioritized Objectives	Weighted Yes/No Scoring		
	Rank (Wt.)		
Improve Water Supply	Y/N	1 (5 pts)	0
Improve Water Quality	Y/N	2 (5 pts)	5
Enhance Habitat	Y/N	5 (5 pts)	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation	Y/N	4 (5 pts)	0
Sustain Communities	Y/N	3 (5 pts)	0
Other Sub-Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring		
	Y=4, N=0		
Critical Needs	Y/N		0
Disadvantaged Communities	Y/N		0
Conjunctive Use (Inc. Cleanup/WQ Mgmt)	Y/N		0
Recycled Water Expansion	Y/N		0
Water Conservation	Y/N		0
TOTAL	Out of 50		5

Summary Scores		
	Score	Rank
Regional Benefit	17	2
Sub-Regional Priorities	5	3
Total	22	7
Readiness to Proceed	15	13

Submitted By: West Basin Municipal Water District

Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring				
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods			Project Scoring
Contribution to Planning Targets	Degree of Benefit			
	H (6 pts)	M (4 pts)	L (2 pts)	
Improve Water Supply - Total (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	6
Improve Water Quality* (MGD)	>10	1-10	<1	6
Groundwater* (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	0
Enhance Habitat (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Other Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring			
	Y = 5 pts			
Multiple Sub-regions / Multiple Entities	Y/N			0
High Profile / Demonstration Project	Y/N			0
TOTAL	Out of 34			12

Readiness to Proceed Evaluation and Scoring					
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods				Project Scoring
Documentation Progress	Degree of Completeness				
	H (6 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (0 pts)	N/A	
Conceptual Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	3
Land Acquisition	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Preliminary Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Permits	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Construction Drawings	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Feasibility, Cost, Schedule, and Support	Defined Benchmarks				
	H (5 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (1 pts)	N/A	
Project Feasibility (0-3 Documents)	3+	2	1	NA	1
Cost-Share	>60%	40-60%	<40%	NA	0
Schedule - Construction Start	2008-10	2011-12	2013+	NA	3
Local Support	H	M	L	NA	0
TOTAL	Out of 50				7

Sub-Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring			
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods		Project Scoring
Prioritized Objectives	Weighted Yes/No Scoring		
	Rank (Wt.)		
Improve Water Supply	Y/N	1 (5 pts)	0
Improve Water Quality	Y/N	2 (5 pts)	0
Enhance Habitat	Y/N	5 (5 pts)	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation	Y/N	4 (5 pts)	0
Sustain Communities	Y/N	3 (5 pts)	0
Other Sub-Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring		
	Y=4, N=0		
Critical Needs	Y/N		0
Disadvantaged Communities	Y/N		0
Conjunctive Use (Inc. Cleanup/WQ Mgmt)	Y/N		0
Recycled Water Expansion	Y/N		0
Water Conservation	Y/N		0
TOTAL	Out of 50		0

Summary Scores		
	Score	Rank
Regional Benefit	12	5
Sub-Regional Priorities	0	4
Total	12	16
Readiness to Proceed	7	21

Submitted By: West Basin Municipal Water District

Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring				
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods			Project Scoring
Contribution to Planning Targets	Degree of Benefit			
	H (6 pts)	M (4 pts)	L (2 pts)	
Improve Water Supply - Total (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	6
Improve Water Quality* (MGD)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Groundwater* (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	0
Enhance Habitat (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Other Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring			
	Y = 5 pts			
Multiple Sub-regions / Multiple Entities	Y/N			5
High Profile / Demonstration Project	Y/N			0
TOTAL	Out of 34			11

Readiness to Proceed Evaluation and Scoring					
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods				Project Scoring
Documentation Progress	Degree of Completeness				
	H (6 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (0 pts)	N/A	
Conceptual Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	6
Land Acquisition	C	IP	NI	NA	6
Preliminary Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	6
Permits	C	IP	NI	NA	6
Construction Drawings	C	IP	NI	NA	6
Feasibility, Cost, Schedule, and Support	Defined Benchmarks				
	H (5 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (1 pts)	N/A	
Project Feasibility (0-3 Documents)	3+	2	1	NA	3
Cost-Share	>60%	40-60%	<40%	NA	0
Schedule - Construction Start	2008-10	2011-12	2013+	NA	0
Local Support	H	M	L	NA	0
TOTAL	Out of 50				33

Sub-Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring			
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods		Project Scoring
Prioritized Objectives	Weighted Yes/No Scoring		
	Rank (Wt.)		
Improve Water Supply	Y/N	1 (5 pts)	0
Improve Water Quality	Y/N	2 (5 pts)	0
Enhance Habitat	Y/N	5 (5 pts)	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation	Y/N	4 (5 pts)	0
Sustain Communities	Y/N	3 (5 pts)	0
Other Sub-Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring		
	Y=4, N=0		
Critical Needs	Y/N		0
Disadvantaged Communities	Y/N		0
Conjunctive Use (Inc. Cleanup/WQ Mgmt)	Y/N		0
Recycled Water Expansion	Y/N		0
Water Conservation	Y/N		0
TOTAL	Out of 50		0

Summary Scores		
	Score	Rank
Regional Benefit	11	6
Sub-Regional Priorities	0	4
Total	11	17
Readiness to Proceed	33	2

Submitted By: West Basin Municipal Water District

Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring				
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods			Project Scoring
Contribution to Planning Targets	Degree of Benefit			
	H (6 pts)	M (4 pts)	L (2 pts)	
Improve Water Supply - Total (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	6
Improve Water Quality* (MGD)	>10	1-10	<1	4
Groundwater* (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	0
Enhance Habitat (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Other Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring			
	Y = 5 pts			
Multiple Sub-regions / Multiple Entities	Y/N			5
High Profile / Demonstration Project	Y/N			0
TOTAL	Out of 34			15

Readiness to Proceed Evaluation and Scoring					
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods				Project Scoring
Documentation Progress	Degree of Completeness				
	H (6 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (0 pts)	N/A	
Conceptual Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	6
Land Acquisition	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Preliminary Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	6
Permits	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Construction Drawings	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Feasibility, Cost, Schedule, and Support	Defined Benchmarks				
	H (5 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (1 pts)	N/A	
Project Feasibility (0-3 Documents)	3+	2	1	NA	1
Cost-Share	>60%	40-60%	<40%	NA	0
Schedule - Construction Start	2008-10	2011-12	2013+	NA	5
Local Support	H	M	L	NA	0
TOTAL	Out of 50				18

Sub-Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring			
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods		Project Scoring
Prioritized Objectives	Weighted Yes/No Scoring		
	Rank (Wt.)		
Improve Water Supply	Y/N	1 (5 pts)	0
Improve Water Quality	Y/N	2 (5 pts)	5
Enhance Habitat	Y/N	5 (5 pts)	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation	Y/N	4 (5 pts)	0
Sustain Communities	Y/N	3 (5 pts)	0
Other Sub-Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring		
	Y=4, N=0		
Critical Needs	Y/N		0
Disadvantaged Communities	Y/N		0
Conjunctive Use (Inc. Cleanup/WQ Mgmt)	Y/N		0
Recycled Water Expansion	Y/N		0
Water Conservation	Y/N		0
TOTAL	Out of 50		5

Summary Scores		
	Score	Rank
Regional Benefit	15	3
Sub-Regional Priorities	5	3
Total	20	8
Readiness to Proceed	18	10

Submitted By: West Basin Municipal Water District

Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring				
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods			Project Scoring
Contribution to Planning Targets	Degree of Benefit			
	H (6 pts)	M (4 pts)	L (2 pts)	
Improve Water Supply - Total (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	6
Improve Water Quality* (MGD)	>10	1-10	<1	6
Groundwater* (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	0
Enhance Habitat (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Other Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring			
	Y = 5 pts			
Multiple Sub-regions / Multiple Entities	Y/N			5
High Profile / Demonstration Project	Y/N			0
TOTAL	Out of 34			17

Readiness to Proceed Evaluation and Scoring					
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods				Project Scoring
Documentation Progress	Degree of Completeness				
	H (6 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (0 pts)	N/A	
Conceptual Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	6
Land Acquisition	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Preliminary Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	6
Permits	C	IP	NI	NA	6
Construction Drawings	C	IP	NI	NA	3
Feasibility, Cost, Schedule, and Support	Defined Benchmarks				
	H (5 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (1 pts)	N/A	
Project Feasibility (0-3 Documents)	3+	2	1	NA	1
Cost-Share	>60%	40-60%	<40%	NA	0
Schedule - Construction Start	2008-10	2011-12	2013+	NA	5
Local Support	H	M	L	NA	0
TOTAL	Out of 50				27

Sub-Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring			
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods		Project Scoring
Prioritized Objectives	Weighted Yes/No Scoring		
	Rank (Wt.)		
Improve Water Supply	Y/N	1 (5 pts)	0
Improve Water Quality	Y/N	2 (5 pts)	5
Enhance Habitat	Y/N	5 (5 pts)	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation	Y/N	4 (5 pts)	0
Sustain Communities	Y/N	3 (5 pts)	0
Other Sub-Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring		
	Y=4, N=0		
Critical Needs	Y/N		0
Disadvantaged Communities	Y/N		0
Conjunctive Use (Inc. Cleanup/WQ Mgmt)	Y/N		0
Recycled Water Expansion	Y/N		0
Water Conservation	Y/N		0
TOTAL	Out of 50		5

Summary Scores		
	Score	Rank
Regional Benefit	17	2
Sub-Regional Priorities	5	3
Total	22	7
Readiness to Proceed	27	3

Submitted By: West Basin Municipal Water District

Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring				
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods			Project Scoring
Contribution to Planning Targets	Degree of Benefit			
	H (6 pts)	M (4 pts)	L (2 pts)	
Improve Water Supply - Total (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	2
Improve Water Quality* (MGD)	>10	1-10	<1	6
Groundwater* (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	0
Enhance Habitat (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Other Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring			
	Y = 5 pts			
Multiple Sub-regions / Multiple Entities	Y/N			5
High Profile / Demonstration Project	Y/N			0
TOTAL	Out of 34			13

Readiness to Proceed Evaluation and Scoring					
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods				Project Scoring
Documentation Progress	Degree of Completeness				
	H (6 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (0 pts)	N/A	
Conceptual Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	6
Land Acquisition	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Preliminary Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	3
Permits	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Construction Drawings	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Feasibility, Cost, Schedule, and Support	Defined Benchmarks				
	H (5 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (1 pts)	N/A	
Project Feasibility (0-3 Documents)	3+	2	1	NA	0
Cost-Share	>60%	40-60%	<40%	NA	0
Schedule - Construction Start	2008-10	2011-12	2013+	NA	0
Local Support	H	M	L	NA	0
TOTAL	Out of 50				9

Sub-Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring			
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods		Project Scoring
Prioritized Objectives	Weighted Yes/No Scoring		
	Rank (Wt.)		
Improve Water Supply	Y/N	1 (5 pts)	0
Improve Water Quality	Y/N	2 (5 pts)	5
Enhance Habitat	Y/N	5 (5 pts)	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation	Y/N	4 (5 pts)	0
Sustain Communities	Y/N	3 (5 pts)	0
Other Sub-Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring		
	Y=4, N=0		
Critical Needs	Y/N		0
Disadvantaged Communities	Y/N		0
Conjunctive Use (Inc. Cleanup/WQ Mgmt)	Y/N		0
Recycled Water Expansion	Y/N		0
Water Conservation	Y/N		0
TOTAL	Out of 50		5

Summary Scores		
	Score	Rank
Regional Benefit	13	4
Sub-Regional Priorities	5	3
Total	18	10
Readiness to Proceed	9	19

Submitted By: West Basin Municipal Water District

Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring				
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods			Project Scoring
Contribution to Planning Targets	Degree of Benefit			
	H (6 pts)	M (4 pts)	L (2 pts)	
Improve Water Supply - Total (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	6
Improve Water Quality* (MGD)	>10	1-10	<1	6
Groundwater* (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	0
Enhance Habitat (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Other Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring			
	Y = 5 pts			
Multiple Sub-regions / Multiple Entities	Y/N			5
High Profile / Demonstration Project	Y/N			0
TOTAL	Out of 34			17

Readiness to Proceed Evaluation and Scoring					
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods				Project Scoring
Documentation Progress	Degree of Completeness				
	H (6 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (0 pts)	N/A	
Conceptual Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	6
Land Acquisition	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Preliminary Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	6
Permits	C	IP	NI	NA	6
Construction Drawings	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Feasibility, Cost, Schedule, and Support	Defined Benchmarks				
	H (5 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (1 pts)	N/A	
Project Feasibility (0-3 Documents)	3+	2	1	NA	1
Cost-Share	>60%	40-60%	<40%	NA	0
Schedule - Construction Start	2008-10	2011-12	2013+	NA	5
Local Support	H	M	L	NA	0
TOTAL	Out of 50				24

Sub-Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring			
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods		Project Scoring
Prioritized Objectives	Weighted Yes/No Scoring		
	Rank (Wt.)		
Improve Water Supply	Y/N	1 (5 pts)	0
Improve Water Quality	Y/N	2 (5 pts)	0
Enhance Habitat	Y/N	5 (5 pts)	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation	Y/N	4 (5 pts)	0
Sustain Communities	Y/N	3 (5 pts)	0
Other Sub-Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring		
	Y=4, N=0		
Critical Needs	Y/N		0
Disadvantaged Communities	Y/N		0
Conjunctive Use (Inc. Cleanup/WQ Mgmt)	Y/N		0
Recycled Water Expansion	Y/N		0
Water Conservation	Y/N		0
TOTAL	Out of 50		0

Summary Scores		
	Score	Rank
Regional Benefit	17	2
Sub-Regional Priorities	0	4
Total	17	11
Readiness to Proceed	24	5

Project # 259

Catch Basin Labels

Submitted By: City of LA

Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring				
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods			Project Scoring
Contribution to Planning Targets	Degree of Benefit			
	H (6 pts)	M (4 pts)	L (2 pts)	
Improve Water Supply - Total (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	0
Improve Water Quality* (MGD)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Groundwater* (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	0
Enhance Habitat (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Other Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring			
	Y = 5 pts			
Multiple Sub-regions / Multiple Entities	Y/N			0
High Profile / Demonstration Project	Y/N			0
TOTAL	Out of 34			0

Readiness to Proceed Evaluation and Scoring					
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods				Project Scoring
Documentation Progress	Degree of Completeness				
	H (6 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (0 pts)	N/A	
Conceptual Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	6
Land Acquisition	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Preliminary Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	6
Permits	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Construction Drawings	C	IP	NI	NA	6
Feasibility, Cost, Schedule, and Support	Defined Benchmarks				
	H (5 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (1 pts)	N/A	
Project Feasibility (0-3 Documents)	3+	2	1	NA	1
Cost-Share	>60%	40-60%	<40%	NA	0
Schedule - Construction Start	2008-10	2011-12	2013+	NA	0
Local Support	H	M	L	NA	0
TOTAL	Out of 50				19

Sub-Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring			
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods		Project Scoring
Prioritized Objectives	Weighted Yes/No Scoring		
	Rank (Wt.)		
Improve Water Supply	Y/N	1 (5 pts)	0
Improve Water Quality	Y/N	2 (5 pts)	0
Enhance Habitat	Y/N	5 (5 pts)	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation	Y/N	4 (5 pts)	5
Sustain Communities	Y/N	3 (5 pts)	0
Other Sub-Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring		
	Y=4, N=0		
Critical Needs	Y/N		0
Disadvantaged Communities	Y/N		0
Conjunctive Use (Inc. Cleanup/WQ Mgmt)	Y/N		0
Recycled Water Expansion	Y/N		0
Water Conservation	Y/N		0
TOTAL	Out of 50		5

Summary Scores		
	Score	Rank
Regional Benefit	0	15
Sub-Regional Priorities	5	3
Total	5	22
Readiness to Proceed	19	9

Project # 260

Catch Basin Screens and Inserts

Submitted By: City of LA

Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring				
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods			Project Scoring
Contribution to Planning Targets	Degree of Benefit			
	H (6 pts)	M (4 pts)	L (2 pts)	
Improve Water Supply - Total (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	0
Improve Water Quality* (MGD)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Groundwater* (AFY)	>1000	100-1000	<100	0
Enhance Habitat (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation (AC)	>10	1-10	<1	0
Other Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring			
	Y = 5 pts			
Multiple Sub-regions / Multiple Entities	Y/N			0
High Profile / Demonstration Project	Y/N			0
TOTAL	Out of 34			0

Readiness to Proceed Evaluation and Scoring					
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods				Project Scoring
Documentation Progress	Degree of Completeness				
	H (6 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (0 pts)	N/A	
Conceptual Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	6
Land Acquisition	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Preliminary Plans	C	IP	NI	NA	6
Permits	C	IP	NI	NA	0
Construction Drawings	C	IP	NI	NA	6
Feasibility, Cost, Schedule, and Support	Defined Benchmarks				
	H (5 pts)	M (3 pts)	L (1 pts)	N/A	
Project Feasibility (0-3 Documents)	3+	2	1	NA	1
Cost-Share	>60%	40-60%	<40%	NA	0
Schedule - Construction Start	2008-10	2011-12	2013+	NA	0
Local Support	H	M	L	NA	0
TOTAL	Out of 50				19

Sub-Regional Prioritization Evaluation and Scoring			
Framework Components	Screening and Scoring Methods		Project Scoring
Prioritized Objectives	Weighted Yes/No Scoring		
	Rank (Wt.)		
Improve Water Supply	Y/N	1 (5 pts)	0
Improve Water Quality	Y/N	2 (5 pts)	0
Enhance Habitat	Y/N	5 (5 pts)	0
Enhance Open Space, Recreation	Y/N	4 (5 pts)	5
Sustain Communities	Y/N	3 (5 pts)	0
Other Sub-Regional Priorities	Yes/No Scoring		
	Y=4, N=0		
Critical Needs	Y/N		0
Disadvantaged Communities	Y/N		0
Conjunctive Use (Inc. Cleanup/WQ Mgmt)	Y/N		0
Recycled Water Expansion	Y/N		0
Water Conservation	Y/N		0
TOTAL	Out of 50		5

Summary Scores		
	Score	Rank
Regional Benefit	0	15
Sub-Regional Priorities	5	3
Total	5	22
Readiness to Proceed	19	9